




## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup>:</b>  <b>H04Q 7/38</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 99/57933</b>  <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 11 November 1999 (11.11.99)		
<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"> <b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/SE99/00681   <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 27 April 1999 (27.04.99)   <b>(30) Priority Data:</b>            09/072,937                      5 May 1998 (05.05.98)                      US   <b>(71) Applicant:</b> TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON (publ) [SE/SE]; S-126 25 Stockholm (SE).   <b>(72) Inventors:</b> CUFFARO, Angelo; 4247 Becket Street, Pierrefonds, Quebec H9H 4W8 (CA). IZADY, Mahshid; 2550 Thimens #406, St-Laurent, Quebec H4R 2L3 (CA).   <b>(74) Agent:</b> ERICSSON RADIO SYSTEMS AB; Common Patent Dept., S-164 80 Stockholm (SE).         </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"> <b>(81) Designated States:</b> AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).   <b>Published</b>  <i>With international search report.</i>  <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i> </td> </tr> </table>			<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/SE99/00681  <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 27 April 1999 (27.04.99)  <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 09/072,937                      5 May 1998 (05.05.98)                      US  <b>(71) Applicant:</b> TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON (publ) [SE/SE]; S-126 25 Stockholm (SE).  <b>(72) Inventors:</b> CUFFARO, Angelo; 4247 Becket Street, Pierrefonds, Quebec H9H 4W8 (CA). IZADY, Mahshid; 2550 Thimens #406, St-Laurent, Quebec H4R 2L3 (CA).  <b>(74) Agent:</b> ERICSSON RADIO SYSTEMS AB; Common Patent Dept., S-164 80 Stockholm (SE).	<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/SE99/00681  <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 27 April 1999 (27.04.99)  <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 09/072,937                      5 May 1998 (05.05.98)                      US  <b>(71) Applicant:</b> TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON (publ) [SE/SE]; S-126 25 Stockholm (SE).  <b>(72) Inventors:</b> CUFFARO, Angelo; 4247 Becket Street, Pierrefonds, Quebec H9H 4W8 (CA). IZADY, Mahshid; 2550 Thimens #406, St-Laurent, Quebec H4R 2L3 (CA).  <b>(74) Agent:</b> ERICSSON RADIO SYSTEMS AB; Common Patent Dept., S-164 80 Stockholm (SE).	<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>			
<b>(54) Title:</b> METHOD OF DETECTING AND INHIBITING MOBILE STATION HANDOFF OSCILLATIONS IN A CELLULAR TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORK				
<b>(57) Abstract</b>  <p>A method of handling oscillating mobile station handoffs between cells in a cellular telecommunications network. The method comprises the steps of detecting (1) whether a mobile station (MS) is exhibiting an oscillating handoff pattern, determining (32) whether the MS's signal strength with the MS's serving cell is above a safe signal strength threshold, and inhibiting handoffs (35) of the MS upon determining that the signal strength is above the safe signal strength threshold. The oscillating handoff pattern is detected by first detecting (13) a sequence of handoffs of the MS in which the number of handoffs is twice the number of cells involved in the handoffs, measuring (14) an inter-handoff time period between each handoff in the sequence, and determining whether (15) each inter-handoff time period is less than a first threshold value. This is followed by determining an oldest handoff and a latest handoff in the sequence, measuring (23) a total oscillation time period between the oldest handoff and the latest handoff in the sequence, determining (24) whether the total oscillation time period is less than a second threshold value, and classifying (26) the MS as oscillating upon determining that the total oscillation time period is less than the second threshold value.</p>				



**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

**METHOD OF DETECTING AND INHIBITING  
MOBILE STATION HANDOFF OSCILLATIONS  
IN A CELLULAR TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORK**

**5 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

Technical Field of the Invention

This invention relates to telecommunication systems and, more particularly,  
to a method of detecting and inhibiting mobile station handoff oscillations between  
10 cells in a cellular radio telecommunications network.

Description of Related Art

Mobile stations (MSs) will sometimes be handed off back and forth in a fairly  
rapid manner between two or more cells. This phenomenon is known as handoff  
oscillations, and has many adverse effects in the cellular network. First, temporary  
15 muting is experienced by the subscriber during each handoff, and this adversely affects  
voice quality when it is repeatedly experienced in a short period of time. Second, the  
probability of dropping the call is cumulatively increased since the probability of  
dropping a call is increased during each handoff. Third, the repeated handoffs add to  
the processor load in the network.

20 One of the main causes of handoff oscillations is the manner in which MSs  
obtain signal strength measurements from the serving cell and candidate neighboring  
cells. Erroneous signal strength measurements from neighbor cells can be caused by  
a design defect in certain MSs or by poor cell planning causing co-channel interference  
or poor propagation in certain areas. Therefore, MSs often do not report the correct  
25 signal strengths, making the signal strength from the neighbor cell appear better than  
it actually is, and better than the serving cell. The handoff is then performed based on  
the erroneous measurements. This places the MS in a new serving cell where the  
measurements then show that the signal strength is better in the old serving cell.  
Therefore, another handoff is performed back to the old serving cell.

30 Although there are no known prior art teachings of a solution to the  
aforementioned deficiency and shortcoming such as that disclosed herein, U.S. Patent

-2-

Number 5,623,535 to Leung et al. (Leung), U.S. Patent Number 5,530,910 to Taketsugu (Taketsugu), and U.K. Patent Application GB 2 301 733A to Gilliland (Gilliland) discuss subject matter that bears some relation to matters discussed herein. Leung discloses a method of operating a layered cellular network having macrocells  
5 which overlay a plurality of microcells. Leung compiles mobility statistics for each MS and passes the statistics to the base stations in the networks. The mobility statistics are then utilized to make decisions regarding whether to assign each MS to a microcell or a macrocell. However, Leung does not teach or suggest a method of detecting and inhibiting handoff oscillations in a cellular telecommunications network.

10 Taketsugu discloses a method of controlling handoffs in a cellular telecommunications network having cells of differing sizes. Taketsugu measures the number of handoffs experienced by each MS, and the measurement is then utilized to determine the size of cell to which the MS should be handed off. Taketsugu, however, does not teach or suggest a method of detecting and inhibiting handoff oscillations in  
15 a cellular telecommunications network.

Gilliland discloses a method of controlling handoffs in a cellular telecommunications network having macrocells and microcells. Gilliland determines the speed of each MS by counting the number of handoffs which have occurred in a preceding period, or by counting the number of measurement reports in the preceding  
20 period. The MS's speed is then utilized to determine whether to hand off the MS to a macrocell or a microcell. However, Gilliland does not teach or suggest a method of detecting and inhibiting handoff oscillations in a cellular telecommunications network.

Other solutions to the handoff oscillation problem have been proposed, but each known proposed solution utilizes a hysteresis value to control the rate of  
25 oscillating handoffs. In order for a handoff to be performed, the signal strength from a candidate neighboring cell must exceed the signal strength of the serving cell by the hysteresis amount. However, a disadvantage to this approach is that every MS in the cell is affected when the hysteresis value is determined and set. The hysteresis value necessarily reduces the network's handoff performance. For MSs experiencing severe  
30 handoff oscillation problems, this trade-off is acceptable. However, all MSs are not uniformly affected by oscillating handoffs. For those MSs that are not experiencing oscillating handoffs, the hysteresis solution degrades their performance without any

-3-

counter-balancing benefit. In addition, other factors such as interference may require that the value of the hysteresis be set higher, thereby further degrading handoff performance for all MSs in the cell.

5 Review of each of the foregoing references reveals no disclosure or suggestion of a method such as that described and claimed herein. In order to overcome the disadvantage of existing solutions, it would be advantageous to have a method of detecting and inhibiting handoff oscillations between cells in a cellular radio telecommunications network. Such a method would operate on a per-mobile station basis rather than utilizing hysteresis on a cell-wide basis. The present invention  
10 provides such a method.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In one aspect, the present invention is a method of handling oscillating mobile station handoffs between cells in a cellular telecommunications network having a  
15 mobile switching center (MSC) which controls the cells, and a plurality of mobile stations (MSs) operating in the cells. The method comprises the steps of detecting whether a MS is exhibiting an oscillating handoff pattern, and inhibiting handoffs of the MS upon detecting that the MS is exhibiting an oscillating handoff pattern. Before inhibiting handoffs, the method may determine whether the MS's signal strength with  
20 the MS's serving cell is above a safe signal strength threshold, and inhibit handoffs only if the signal strength is above the safe signal strength threshold.

In detecting whether a MS is exhibiting an oscillating handoff pattern, the method may begin by detecting a sequence of handoffs of the MS in which the number of handoffs is twice the number of cells involved in the handoffs, measuring an inter-  
25 handoff time period between each handoff in the sequence, and determining whether each inter-handoff time period is less than a first threshold value. This is followed by determining an oldest handoff and a latest handoff in the sequence, measuring a total oscillation time period between the oldest handoff and the latest handoff in the sequence, determining whether the total oscillation time period is less than a second  
30 threshold value, and classifying the MS as oscillating upon determining that the total oscillation time period is less than the second threshold value.

In another aspect, the present invention is a method of detecting a mobile

-4-

station (MS) which is exhibiting an oscillating handoff pattern between cells in a cellular telecommunications network. The method includes the steps of detecting a sequence of handoffs of the MS in which the number of handoffs is twice the number of cells involved in the handoffs, measuring an inter-handoff time period between each  
5 handoff in the sequence, and determining whether each inter-handoff time period is less than a first threshold value. This is followed by determining an oldest handoff and a latest handoff in the sequence, measuring a total oscillation time period between the oldest handoff and the latest handoff in the sequence, determining whether the total oscillation time period is less than a second threshold value, and classifying the MS  
10 as oscillating upon determining that the total oscillation time period is less than the second threshold value.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The invention will be better understood and its numerous objects and  
15 advantages will become more apparent to those skilled in the art by reference to the following drawings, in conjunction with the accompanying specification, in which:

FIG. 1 is a flow chart illustrating the steps of the overall oscillation detection and inhibition process of the present invention;

FIGS. 2A and 2B are a flow chart illustrating the steps of the oscillation  
20 detection process of the present invention; and

FIG. 3 is a flow chart illustrating the steps of the oscillation inhibition process of the present invention.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS

25 The present invention operates on a per-mobile station basis in order to ascertain whether certain MSs are experiencing oscillating handoffs, and to target a solution to those MSs. When utilized herein, the term "handoff" means an intercell handoff between cells in a cellular network, not an intracell handoff between channels within a single cell. Basically, the method determines whether a pattern of handoffs  
30 is repeated for a given MS within a predetermined time period. The time period is important because a MS is not oscillating, even if it is being handed off back and forth, if it spends long periods of time in each cell. However, if a MS is being handed off

-5-

back and forth rapidly (for example, every 10 seconds), then it is oscillating. The present invention first detects a MS experiencing oscillating handoffs, and then inhibits further handoffs for an inhibition time period.

FIG. 1 is a flow chart illustrating the steps of the overall oscillation detection and inhibition process of the present invention. At step 1, an oscillation detection process detects a MS with an oscillating handoff pattern. At step 2, further handoffs of the oscillating MS are inhibited for a predetermined period of time, for example, one minute. The process then moves to step 3 where it is determined whether or not the time period has expired. If not, the process returns to step 2 and continues to inhibit handoffs of the oscillating MS. When the time period expires, the process moves to step 4, and once again allows handoffs of the MS.

#### Oscillating Handoff Detection

Handoff oscillations may be detected between 2, 3, or more cells. For example, the MS may go back and forth from cell A to cell B, or may move from A to B to C and then back to A to B to C, etc. The detection process determines that there is an oscillating condition based on the number of handoffs, the time period between each handoff, and a total elapsed time period.

FIGS. 2A and 2B are a flow chart illustrating the steps of the oscillation detection process of the present invention. At step 11, an inter-handoff time period (Threshold 1) is set. The inter-handoff time period is the time between successive handoffs of a single MS. The MSC maintains a queue that stores the last "N" cells where the MS has been. A measured condition is classified as oscillating if a contiguous handoff sequence is detected, and it repeats itself at least once within a total oscillation time period. The MSC considers the handoff sequence contiguous if the time period between successive handoffs is less than Threshold 1 (for example, 15 seconds). A contiguous sequence is considered oscillating if the time between the current handoff and the oldest handoff in the contiguous sequence (the total oscillation time period) is less than Threshold 2 (for example, 45 seconds). At step 12, Threshold 2 is set.

At step 13, the MSC creates the queue that stores the last "N" cells where the MS has been. At step 14, the inter-handoff time period is measured between each

-6-

successive handoff in the queue. At 15, it is determined whether or not each measured inter-handoff time period is less than Threshold 1. If not, the sequence is not contiguous, and the process moves to step 16 and allows handoffs of the MS. If each measured inter-handoff time period is less than Threshold 1, the process moves to step 5 17 and classifies the sequence as contiguous. The process then moves to FIG. 2B.

At step 21, it is determined whether or not the contiguous sequence repeats itself. If not, the process moves to step 22 and allows handoffs of the MS. However, if the sequence repeats itself, the process moves to step 23 and calculates the total time from the oldest handoff in the contiguous sequence to the current handoff (i.e., the total oscillation time period). At step 24 it is determined whether or not the total oscillation time period is less than Threshold 2. If not, the process moves to step 25 and allows handoffs of the MS. However, if the total oscillation time period is less than Threshold 2, then the MS is classified as oscillating at 26. At step 27, the queue for the oscillating MS is emptied, and the process continues to the inhibition process 15 illustrated in FIG. 3.

Thus, each inter-handoff time period must be less than Threshold 1, and the total oscillation time period must be less than Threshold 2 before a MS is classified as oscillating. For example, two inter-handoff time periods could be measured as 10 seconds, and then the MS could go 30 seconds before the next handoff. Threshold 2 is met (i.e., less than 45 seconds between the current handoff and the oldest handoff in the sequence, but Threshold 1 is not met since one of the inter-handoff periods was greater than 15 seconds. In this case, the condition is not classified as oscillating. 20

Table 1 below illustrates a condition which is classified as oscillating when Threshold 1 = 15 seconds and Threshold 2 = 45 seconds. Each inter-handoff time period is less than 15 seconds, and the total time from the oldest handoff to the current handoff is 28 seconds (less than 45 seconds). 25

30

CELL QUEUE		TIME CELL WAS ENTERED	INTER- HANDOFF PERIOD	OVERALL TIME PERIOD
POSITION	CELL			



-7-

5

CURRENT CELL		12:01:45		
N-1				
N-2	B	12:01:38	13 SECS.	28 SECS.
N-3	A	12:01:25	5 SECS.	15 SECS.
...	B	12:01:20	10 SECS.	10 SECS.
OLDEST CELL	A	12:01:10		
0				

Table 1

10 As long as two cells are involved in the repetitive handoffs, the process maintains a rolling window which has a maximum length of the last four cells in which the MS has been located. The process discards cells from the table whenever conditions for determining that the sequence is oscillating are not met. For example, as long as handoffs are made having inter-handoff periods greater than Threshold 1,

15 the records are not considered by the oscillation detection process. When a handoff is made with an inter-handoff period less than threshold 1, the previous cell records are discarded, and the latest handoff record is stored in the table in the oldest cell position. Thereafter, as long as handoffs are made having inter-handoff periods less than Threshold 1, the table builds up until the last four cells are included. If the MS

20 remains in one cell longer than the time period specified by Threshold 1 prior to recording the last four cells, the previous cells are discarded, and the process starts over. Whenever the table contains four cells having intercell handoff periods less than Threshold 1, and the overall time period from the current cell to the oldest cell is less than Threshold 2, then the MSC determines that the sequence is oscillating. The MSC

25 may then inhibit further handoffs for an inhibition time period, as shown in FIG. 3.

The Threshold 1 and Threshold 2 values may be changed to optimize the results depending on whether handoffs are being made between 2, 3, or more cells. A parameter may also be changed to control the queue length. For example, if handoffs are being made between 2 cells, the queue length over which the analysis is

30 made may be 4 cells long (A-B-A-B). If handoffs are being made between 3 cells, the queue length may be 6 cells long (A-B-C-A-B-C). Thus, the N queue length is normally twice the number of cells involved in the handoff pattern.

-8-

In one embodiment, the oscillation detection function may operate in detail as follows. The following definitions are utilized in the discussion:

Handoff queue - a list of the last N cells where the MS has been located;

Oscillation - a contiguous sequence of length  $\leq N/2$  which is repeated;

5 Sequence Indicator (s) - the expected sequence length of the oscillation;

m - the position in the queue where the last handoff is stored;

Inter-Handoff Time Interval (Threshold 1) - the maximum time allowed between handoffs for the sequence to be considered contiguous; and

10 Oscillation Time Period (Threshold 2) - the maximum time allowed between the oldest and latest handoff in the contiguous and repeating sequence.

The position information (cell and time) when the call is set up is stored in the first available position (position 0), and the sequence indicator (s) is set to 1. For subsequent handoffs, if the time between position m and position m-1 is less than Threshold 1, then the oldest m items are removed from the queue, and the remaining  
15 item is stored in position 0. The sequence indicator (s) is reset to 1, m is set to 0, and the process waits for the next handoff.

It is then determined whether the cell in position m is equal to the cell in position (m-s) and whether  $m > (N/2)-1$ . If m is not equal to the cell in position (m-s) and  $m > (N/2)-1$ , then the oldest  $m-(N/2)+1$  items are removed from the queue and the  
20 remaining  $m-(N/2)+1$  items are shifted toward the bottom of the queue, and m is updated accordingly. If some items are removed in this step, the sequence indicator (s) is set to the current position m.

The MSC then determines whether the cell in position m is equal to any cells in positions (m-(s-x)) where  $s-1 > x \geq 0$ . If it is assumed that y is an ascending sequence  
25 and denotes the queue positions of each cell match, then if any cells match, the MSC determines whether the last  $2 \cdot (m-y)$  positions are oscillating. If, however, there is no match between the cells in position m and position (m-s), the sequence indicator (s) is set to the next queue position (m+1).

The MSC flags the MS as oscillating if, for every cell contained in the last  
30  $2 \cdot (m-y)$  positions, there are at least two occurrences, and the time difference between the last and first cell positions in the last  $2 \cdot (m-y)$  positions is less than the total oscillation time period. If a MS has been flagged as oscillating, then the queue is

-9-

emptied, the current position information is stored at the bottom of the queue, and the sequence indicator (s) is set to 1.

#### Example Detections

##### 5 Example 1:

Assume a queue length of 8 (i.e., maximum sequence detector is  $N/2 = 4$ ), and that an MS moves from cell A to B to A. The MS would be considered oscillating if the next handoff is to B, and the time criteria are met. The time criteria are met if the time between:

- 10 (1) Cell B (position 3) and cell A (position 2) is less than threshold 1;
- (2) Cell A (position 2) and cell B (position 1) is less than threshold 1;
- (3) Cell B (position 1) and cell A (position 0) is less than threshold 1; and
- (4) Cell B (position 3) and Cell A (position 0) is less than threshold 2.

##### 15 Example 2:

Assume a queue length of 8 (i.e., maximum sequence detector is  $N/2 = 4$ ), and that an MS moves from cell A to B to C to B. If the next handoff is to cell C, then the oldest item in the queue (cell A) is removed. The queue then includes B to C to B to C. The MS would then be considered oscillating if the time criteria are met.

20

#### Oscillating Handoff Inhibition

FIG. 3 is a flow chart illustrating the steps of the oscillation inhibition process of the present invention. This process inhibits unnecessary handoffs on a per-MS basis once the MSC determines that an oscillating handoff condition exists. The inhibition process first determines at step 32 whether the signal strength from the currently serving cell (uplink and downlink) is above a safe threshold for maintaining the call. If either the uplink or the downlink signal strength from the currently serving cell is not above the safe threshold, the process moves to step 33 where the handoff is allowed. At 34, the MS is no longer classified as oscillating. The process then returns

25 to step 13 of FIG. 2A and begins the oscillation detection process over again.

30

However, if it is determined at step 32 that the uplink and downlink signal strength from the currently serving cell is above the safe threshold for maintaining the

-10-

call, the process moves to step 35 where further handoffs are inhibited for a predetermined inhibition time period which may be, for example, one minute. At step 36, it is determined whether or not the inhibition time period has expired. If not, the process returns to step 32 and continues to monitor the MS's signal strength with the MS's serving cell. If the signal strength falls below the safe threshold during the inhibition time period, handoff of the MS is allowed. When the inhibition time period has elapsed, the process moves to step 37 where handoffs are again allowed. The process then returns to step 13 of FIG. 2A and begins the oscillation detection process over again.

Oscillation information gathered by the present invention may also be utilized by system operators to reconfigure cell parameters. For example, if many MSs are oscillating between two particular cells, the condition can be reported so that appropriate corrective action can be taken.

It is thus believed that the operation and construction of the present invention will be apparent from the foregoing description. While the method shown and described has been characterized as being preferred, it will be readily apparent that various changes and modifications could be made therein without departing from the scope of the invention as defined in the following claims.

20

-11-

**WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:**

1. A method of handling oscillating mobile station handoffs between cells in a cellular telecommunications network having a mobile switching center (MSC) which controls the cells, and a plurality of mobile stations (MSs) operating in the cells, the method comprising the steps of:
  - detecting whether a MS is exhibiting an oscillating handoff pattern; and
  - inhibiting handoffs of the MS upon detecting that the MS is exhibiting an oscillating handoff pattern.
2. The method of handling oscillating mobile station handoffs of claim 1 wherein the step of inhibiting handoffs of the MS includes the steps of:
  - determining whether the MS's signal strength with the MS's serving cell is above a safe signal strength threshold; and
  - inhibiting handoffs of the MS upon determining that the MS's signal strength with the MS's serving cell is above the safe signal strength threshold.
3. The method of handling oscillating mobile station handoffs of claim 2 further comprising the step of allowing handoff of the MS upon determining that the MS's signal strength with the MS's serving cell is below the safe signal strength threshold.
4. The method of handling oscillating mobile station handoffs of claim 2 wherein the step of inhibiting handoffs includes inhibiting handoffs for a predetermined inhibition time period.
5. The method of handling oscillating mobile station handoffs of claim 4 wherein the step of inhibiting handoffs for a predetermined inhibition time period includes the steps of:
  - monitoring the MS's signal strength with the MS's serving cell during the inhibition time period;
  - determining whether the MS's signal strength with the MS's serving cell has

-12-

fallen below the safe signal strength threshold; and

allowing handoff of the MS upon determining that the MS's signal strength with the MS's serving cell has fallen below the safe signal strength threshold.

5           6.     The method of handling oscillating mobile station handoffs of claim 5 further comprising allowing handoffs of the MS after the inhibition time period expires.

10           7.     The method of handling oscillating mobile station handoffs of claim 1 wherein the step of detecting whether a MS is exhibiting an oscillating handoff pattern includes the steps of:

detecting a sequence of handoffs of the MS; and

determining whether the handoff sequence shows a repeating pattern of cells that the MS is being handed off between.

15           8.     The method of handling oscillating mobile station handoffs of claim 7 wherein the step of detecting a sequence of handoffs of the MS includes detecting a sequence in which the number of handoffs is twice the number of cells involved in the handoffs.

20           9.     The method of handling oscillating mobile station handoffs of claim 7 wherein the step of detecting whether a MS is exhibiting an oscillating handoff pattern also includes the steps of:

measuring an inter-handoff time period between each handoff in the sequence;

25           determining whether each inter-handoff time period is less than a first threshold value; and

classifying the MS as oscillating upon determining that each inter-handoff time period is less than the first threshold value.

30           10.    The method of handling oscillating mobile station handoffs of claim 9 wherein the step of detecting whether a MS is exhibiting an oscillating handoff pattern also includes the steps of:

-13-

determining an oldest handoff and a latest handoff in the sequence;  
measuring a total oscillation time period between the oldest handoff and the latest handoff in the sequence;  
determining whether the total oscillation time period is less than a second  
5 threshold value; and  
classifying the MS as oscillating upon determining that the total oscillation time period is less than the second threshold value.

11. A method of handling oscillating mobile station handoffs between cells  
10 in a cellular telecommunications network having a mobile switching center (MSC) which controls the cells, and a plurality of mobile stations (MSs) operating in the cells, the method comprising the steps of:

detecting whether a MS is exhibiting an oscillating handoff pattern, said detecting step comprising the steps of:

15 detecting a sequence of handoffs of the MS in which the number of handoffs is twice the number of cells involved in the handoffs;

measuring an inter-handoff time period between each handoff in the sequence;

20 determining whether each inter-handoff time period is less than a first threshold value;

determining an oldest handoff and a latest handoff in the sequence;

measuring a total oscillation time period between the oldest handoff and the latest handoff in the sequence;

25 determining whether the total oscillation time period is less than a second threshold value; and

classifying the MS as oscillating upon determining that the total oscillation time period is less than the second threshold value;

determining whether the MS's signal strength with the MS's serving cell is above a safe signal strength threshold; and

30 inhibiting handoffs of the MS for a predetermined inhibition time period, upon detecting that the MS is exhibiting an oscillating handoff pattern and upon determining that the MS's signal strength with the MS's serving cell is above the safe signal

-14-

strength threshold.

12. A method of detecting a mobile station (MS) which is exhibiting an oscillating handoff pattern between cells in a cellular telecommunications network having a mobile switching center (MSC) which controls the cells, and a plurality of mobile stations operating in the cells, the method comprising the steps of:

detecting a sequence of handoffs of the MS in which the number of handoffs is twice the number of cells involved in the handoffs;

measuring an inter-handoff time period between each handoff in the sequence;

10 determining whether each inter-handoff time period is less than a first threshold value;

determining an oldest handoff and a latest handoff in the sequence;

measuring a total oscillation time period between the oldest handoff and the latest handoff in the sequence;

15 determining whether the total oscillation time period is less than a second threshold value; and


classifying the MS as oscillating upon determining that the total oscillation time period is less than the second threshold value.

20



1 / 4

FIG. 1



2 / 4

FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B





FIG. 3



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/SE 99/00681

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 6 H04Q7/38

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 H04Q

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	POLLINI G P: "TRENDS IN HANDOVER DESIGN" IEEE COMMUNICATIONS MAGAZINE, vol. 34, no. 3, 1 March 1996 (1996-03-01), pages 82-90, XP000557380 ISSN: 0163-6804	1-4, 6
A	page 83, line 33 - page 84, line 23  page 88, line 21 - page 89, line 39	5, 7-9, 11, 12
A	WO 98 18281 A (ERICSSON TELEFON AB L M) 30 April 1998 (1998-04-30) page 5, line 12 - page 6, line 15 page 8, line 21 - page 11, line 20 figure 3	1-3, 9, 11, 12

☐

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒

Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"A" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

9 September 1999

Date of mailing of the international search report

16/09/1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Heinrich, D

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/SE 99/00681

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9818281 A	30-04-1998	US 5822696 A	13-10-1998
		AU 4797197 A	15-05-1998
		EP 0934669 A	11-08-1999
<hr/>			